

Comment on 'A single-sum expression for the overlap integral of two associated Legendre polynomials'

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2002 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 35 4187

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/35/18/401>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.106

The article was downloaded on 02/06/2010 at 10:03

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

Comment on ‘A single-sum expression for the overlap integral of two associated Legendre polynomials’

Shi-Hai Dong

Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, UNAM, A.P. 70-543, Circuito Exterior, C.U., 04510 Mexico, D.F., Mexico

E-mail: dongsh2@yahoo.com

Received 21 January 2001, in final form 21 February 2002

Published 26 April 2002

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/35/4187

Abstract

The overlap integral of two associated Legendre polynomials (ALPs) presented by Mavromatis (Mavromatis H A 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 2601) is revised. The results are valid for any product of two ALPs with arbitrary degree l and order m .

PACS numbers: 02.30.Gp and 02.30.Sa

The overlap integral of $P_{l_1}^{m_1}(x)$ and $P_{l_2}^{m_2}(x)$ in the interval $[-1, 1]$ is well known only for the special case $m_1 = m_2$ [1]. However, we cannot find the overlap integral of two ALPs for the general case $l_1 \neq l_2$ and $m_1 \neq m_2$, namely,

$$I(l_1, m_1; l_2, m_2) = \int_{-1}^1 P_{l_1}^{m_1}(x) P_{l_2}^{m_2}(x) dx. \quad (1)$$

Recently a closed expression of the overlap integral of two ALPs involving a single sum has been derived from equations (5) and (6) given in [2]. The final result given in (7) of [2], however, is *invalid* for arbitrary m_1 and m_2 . When $(-m_1 + m_2)$ is negative, the phase $(-1)^{m_1}$ involved in $C(l_1, m_1; l_2, m_2)$ of equation (7) in [2] should be changed to $(-1)^{m_2}$. This is a consequence of the restriction $m \geq 0$ required by equation (5) of [2]. Hence the use of equation (5) of [2] will be *invalid* for the *negative* $(-m_1 + m_2)$. The coefficients a_k are evaluated explicitly in [3], where

$$P_n^{\alpha, \beta}(x) P_m^{\gamma, \delta}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m+n} a_k P_k^{\lambda, \mu}(x). \quad (2)$$

The formula given in [2] is the special case $\lambda = \mu = 0$, $\alpha = \beta$ and $\gamma = \delta$.

For completeness we write out the *correct* result as

$$I(l_1, m_1; l_2, m_2) = A(l_1, m_1; l_2, m_2) \sum_l D(|m_2 - m_1|, l)(2l + 1) \\ \times \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} l_1 & l_2 & l \\ -m_1 & m_2 & m_1 - m_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$A(l_1, m_1; l_2, m_2) = (-1)^\tau |m_2 - m_1| 2^{|m_2 - m_1| - 2} \sqrt{\frac{(l_1 + m_1)!(l_2 + m_2)!}{(l_1 - m_1)!(l_2 - m_2)!}}, \quad (4)$$

$D(|m_2 - m_1|, l)$ is given in (7) of [2] and τ takes the following values:

$$\tau = \begin{cases} m_1, & \text{if } m_2 \geq m_1, \\ m_2, & \text{if } m_2 < m_1. \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

This result differs from equation (7) of [2] for the phase factor. The two cases of τ were not considered in [2]. This result can also be understood from the symmetry $I(l_1, m_1; l_2, m_2) = I(l_2, m_2; l_1, m_1)$.

Acknowledgments

S H Dong thanks Professor A Frank for hospitality at UNAM. This work is supported by CONACyT, Mexico, under project 32397-E.

References

- [1] Gradshteyn I S and Ryzhik I M 1994 *Tables of Integrals, Series and Products* (New York: Academic)
- [2] Mavromatis H A 1999 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **32** 2601, and references therein
- [3] Vilenkin N J 1968 *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations* (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society)